NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

CESAR JUAREZ SANCHEZ; et al.,	No. 05-72405
Petitioners,	Agency Nos. A76-357-488
v.	A76-357-489
ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney General,	MEMORANDUM[*]
Respondent.	

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted July 24, 2006[**]

Before: ALARCÓN, HAWKINS, and THOMAS, Circuit Judges.

Husband and wife Cesar Juarez Sanchez and Maria Guadalupe Juarez,

natives and citizens of Mexico, petition for review of the Board of Immigration

Appeals' ("BIA") order dismissing their appeal from an immigration judge's ("IJ")

---

[*]   This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be
cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

[**]   The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without
oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

decision denying their applications for cancellation of removal. We dismiss the petition for review for lack of jurisdiction.

We lack jurisdiction to review the IJ's discretionary determination that petitioners failed to show exceptional and extremely unusual hardship. *See Martinez-Rosas v. Gonzales*, 424 F.3d 926, 930 (9th Cir. 2005). Their contention that the IJ violated their due process rights by failing to consider all their evidence is not supported by the record and does not amount to a colorable constitutional claim. *See id.* ("[t]raditional abuse of discretion challenges recast as alleged due process violations do not constitute colorable constitutional claims that would invoke our jurisdiction.").

We lack jurisdiction to review petitioners' contention that the IJ was hostile or otherwise exhibited bias during their hearing because petitioners failed to raise this issue before the BIA. *See Barron v. Ashcroft*, 358 F.3d 674, 678 (9th Cir. 2004) (noting that due process challenges that are "procedural in nature" must be exhausted).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DISMISSED.